	Case 2:98-cv-02111-MCE-EFB Docume	nt 424 Filed 08/25/15 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	ANDREW RICK LOPEZ,	No. 2:98-cv-2111-MCE-EFB P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	
13	D. PETERSON, et al.,	<u>ORDER</u>
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On	
17	July 8, 2015, the court appointed counsel for plaintiff for the limited purpose of conducting	
18	discovery. ECF No. 403. The parties have since stipulated to extending the deadline for	
19	discovery, and necessarily, the deadline for filing dispositive motions. ECF Nos. 413, 419, 421.	
20	In his "motion to strike" and motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 423), plaintiff	
21	argues that appointed counsel exceeded the scope of his representation by stipulating to extend	
22	the deadline for filing dispositive motions. Plaintiff asks the court to invalidate its orders	
23	approving the stipulations. The court declines to do so. In this case, discovery remains open to	
24	the extent that a pending motion to compel has not yet been ruled upon. Because discovery	
25	remains open, it is necessary to extend the deadline for filing dispositive motions. The extensions	
26	thereof have been supported by good cause and are not unreasonably lengthy. Therefore,	
27	plaintiff's request for the court to invalidate its orders extending the dispositive motions deadline	
28	is denied.	
		1

## Case 2:98-cv-02111-MCE-EFB Document 424 Filed 08/25/15 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff also requests counsel to represent him in this case on all non-discovery matters. As noted, this case is currently in the discovery stage and plaintiff has counsel to assist him in all discovery matters. Should plaintiff request continued representation following the close of discovery, he may request that his current counsel continue to represent him on all remaining matters. At this time, the court will not appoint a second attorney to represent plaintiff. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's "motion to strike" and motion

for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 423) is denied.

DATED: August 25, 2015.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE